

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,013	12/11/2001	Carroll Philip Gossett	PGOSS-004.US.P	2062	
7590 07/05/2005			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, M Third Floor	TURABITO & HAO L	NGUYEN, DUNG X			
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2638	2638	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				X				
		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/015,0	13	GOSSETT, CARROLL PHILIP				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Dung X N	 	2631				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communic ly	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with ti	he correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FO NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Itime may be available under the provisions of IONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or reply is specified above, the maximum state y within the set or extended period for reply wived by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wrill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply b tutory minimum of thirty (30) till expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this c ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed	l on 11 December 2	004.		·			
<u>·</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since	, _							
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim	•							
Application Pa	pers							
10)⊠ The dr Applica Replac	pecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on 11 December ant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including that or declaration is objected to	2001 is/are: a) ☐ a ion to the drawing(s) I the correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Ref	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	0.450)			
3) Information D Paper No(s)/I	isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Mail Date	1 O/SB/08)	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PT	J-192)			

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on December 11, 2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 3, 8, 9, 12, 22, and 29 have been canceled.

Drawing Objection

2. Figures 2 – 4 are objected and should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP \S 608.02(g).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11, 13 18, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US patent # 5,687,191), in view of Keiler et al. in "Efficient Linear Prediction for Digital Effects", Proceedings of the COST G-6 Conference on Digital Audio Effects (DAFX-00), Verona, Italy, December 7 9, 2000 (herein after Keiler et al.).

Regarding claim 11, Lee et al. discloses that a linear predictive coding filter for filtering out periodic signal (column 3, lines 14 - 23) in a spread spectrum system (column 5, line 32).

Lee et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that it does not show the steps of a linear predictive coding gradient adaptive lattice that filter out periodic or quasi periodic signals corresponding to a predictive coefficients and the linear predictive filter output error information which is then used for signal processing.

However, Keiler et al. discloses the steps of a linear predictive coding gradient adaptive lattice that filter out periodic or quasi periodic signals corresponding to a predictive coefficients and the linear predictive coding filter output error information which is then used for signal processing (pages 1-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects.

Regarding claim 13, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the spread spectrum system comprising a direct sequence spread spectrum system.

However, the spectrum system being a direct sequence spread spectrum system is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the combination of Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects.

Regarding claim 14, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the spread spectrum system comprising a frequency hoping spread spectrum system.

However, the spectrum system being a frequency hoping spread spectrum system is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the combination of Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects.

Regarding claim 15, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Keiler et al. further discloses that wherein linear predictive terms are discarded (page 2).

Regarding claim 16, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the filter is used to filter out the periodic or quasi-periodic signals in compliance with IEEE 802.11(b).

However, Keiler et al. discloses that the filter is used to filter out the periodic signal (page 2) and IEEE 802.11(b) is well known in the art as a standard device of the spread spectrum system (see "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", ISBN # 1-57820-069-5, pages # 96 – 97).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the combination of Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects.

Regarding claim 17, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the filter is used to filter out the periodic or quasi-periodic signals in compliance with Bluetooth.

However, Keiler et al. discloses that the filter is used to filter out the periodic signal (page 2) and Bluetooth is well known in the art as a standard device of the spread spectrum system (see "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", ISBN # 1-57820-069-5, pages # 96 - 97).

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the combination of Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects.

Regarding claim 18, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the filter is used to filter out the periodic or quasi-periodic signals in a standard modulated CDMA system.

However, Keiler et al. discloses that the filter is used to filter out the periodic signal (page 2) and modulated CDMA is well known as the spread spectrum system (see "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", ISBN # 1-57820-069-5, page # 132).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the combination of Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for (abstract of Keiler et al.).

Regarding claim 26, Lee et al. discloses (figure 4) a spread spectrum receiver, comprising:

- An inherent antenna for receiving a spread spectrum signal (400) (column 5, line 32);
- A digital linear predictive filter coupled to the antenna, wherein the digital filter is used to remove periodic signals within a specified band containing the spread spectrum signal (column 3, lines 13 22).

Lee et al. differs from the instant claimed invention that it does not disclose he digital predictive coding filter having a lattice structure.

However, Keiler et al. discloses (figure 2) the digital linear predictive filter having a lattice filter (page 2, first column, section # 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Lee et al.'s teaching and Keiler et al.'s teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for updating the spectrum model which is of great benefit for both coding and audio effects (abstract of Keiler et al.).

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US patent # 5,687,191), Keiler et al. in "Efficient Linear Prediction for Digital Effects", Proceedings of the COST G-6 Conference on Digital Audio Effects (DAFX-00), Verona, Italy, December 7 – 9, 2000 (herein after Keiler et al.), and further in view of Miyake et al. (US patent # 6,678,341 B1).

Regarding claim 19, as followed by the limitations analyzed in claim 11, Lee et al. and Keiler et al. differ from the instant claimed invention that they do not expressly show wherein the filter is used in a wireless peer-to-peer system.

However, Miyake et al. discloses (figure 3) that the filter (28) is used in a wireless peer-to-peer system (column 6, lines 25 – 41) and the spread spectrum system is well known as a high security (see "Newton's Telecom Dictionary", ISBN # 1-57820-069-5, page # 646).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the combination Lee et al.'s teaching, Keiler et al.'s teaching, and Miyake et al's teaching as providing the requirements of the instant claimed invention for no special infrastructure being therefore required for synchronizing (abstract of Miyake et al.).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 10, 20, 21, and 23 – 25, 27, 28, and 30 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding to the claimed invention, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious of a spread spectrum system using linear predictive coding (LPC) having lattice structure to remove periodic or quasi-periodic signals of predictive coefficient. The LPC filter takes a

Application/Control Number: 10/015,013

Art Unit: 2631

Page 7

digitized spread spectrum signal and generates a set of predictive coefficients and a set of error

coefficients. The set of the predictive coefficients are discarded. The remaining error coefficients

represent what is left over and thereby contains the useful transmitted data found within the

spread spectrum signal.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

US Patent documents:

Newson et al. (US patent # 6,370,183 B1) discloses a predictive Rake receiver filter for

CDMA mobile radio systems.

Mermelstein et al. (US patent # 5,995,923) discloses a method and its corresponding

apparatus for improving the voice quality of tandemed vocoders.

Lee et al. (US patent # 5,822,360) discloses a method and its corresponding apparatus for

transporting auxiliary data in audio signals.

Barbarsesco (US patent # 5,729,465) discloses a method and its corresponding apparatus

to determine the frequency spectrum of a signal.

Harrison, Jr. (US patent # 4,578,676) discloses a delay lattice filter for radar dopper

processing.

Other publications:

Newton's Telecom Dictionary, ISBN # 1-57820-069-5, pages # 18, 96-97, 132, and 646.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,013

Art Unit: 2631

Contact Information

Page 8

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 17:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Vanderpuye Kenneth N. can be reached on (571) 272-3078. The fax phone numbers for this group is (571) 273-3021.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

DXN

June 27, 2005

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER